

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States/Hatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESIONER FOR PATENTS DO BOA1450 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/838,764 04/19/2001 400.081US01 1344 Frankie F. Roohparvar 27073 7590 06/01/2006 **EXAMINER** LEFFERT JAY & POLGLAZE, P.A. CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M P.O. BOX 581009 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1009 2133

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/838,764	ROOHPARVAR, FRANKIE F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133		

	Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI 1. \square The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on	the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily a sufficient reasons.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:				
All S/24/06	·	TECHNOLOGY CEN		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/838,764

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed amendments to the claims after a final rejection which require further consideration and/or search. For example, claims recite, "...at least one redundant fuse circuitry to store the address of a defective element in a primary memory array...".